Pages 1 - 26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER, JUDGE IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL") MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND) NO. 15-MD-02672 CRB PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION,) San Francisco, California Thursday August 25, 2016 8:00 a.m. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **APPEARANCES:** For Plaintiffs: LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN 275 Battery Street 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 BY: ELIZABETH J. CABRASER, ESQ. DAVID S. STELLINGS, ESQ. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Environmental and Natural Resources Division Post Office Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20004 BY: JOSHUA H. VAN EATON, ESQ. BETHANY ENGEL, ESQ. BELLE BALL, CSR #8785, RDR, CRR Reported by: Official Reporter, U.S. District Court (Appearances continued, next page)

APPEARANCES, CONTINUED:

	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL State of California 455 Golden Gate Avenue Room 11000 San Francisco, California 94102 NICKLAS A. AKERS, ESQ. JON WORM, ESQ.
BY:	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION Bureau of Consumer Protection 600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Mailstop CC-9528 Washington, D.C. 20580 SANGJOON "SIMON" HAN, ESQ. JONATHAN COHEN, ESQ.
	KELLER ROHRBACK LLP 1201 Third Avenue Suite 3200 Seattle, Washington 98101-3052 LYNN LINCOLN SARKO, ESQ.
BY:	ROBBINS, GELLER, RUDMAN & DOWD, LLP 120 East Palmetto Park Road Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 PAUL J. GELLER, ESQ.
ВҮ:	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP 640 Malcolm Road Burlingame, California 94010 FRANK M. PITRE, ESQ.
ВҮ:	SEEGER WEISS LLP 77 Water Street New York City, New York 10005 CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER, ESQ.
(Appearances continued,	next page)

APPEARANCES, CONTINUED: For Plaintiffs: HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1918 8th Avenue Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98101 BY: STEVE W. BERMAN, ESQ. BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504 BY: DAVID BOIES, ESQ. CARELLA BYRNE CECCHI OLSTEIN BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C. 5 Becker Farm Road Roseland, New Jersey 07068 BY: JAMES E. CECCHI, ESQ. BARON BUDD, P.C. 15910 Ventura Boulevard Encino Plaza, Suite 1600 Encino, California 91436 BY: ROLAND K. TELLIS, ESQ. BRANSTETTER, STRANCH & JENNINGS, PLLC The Freedom Center 223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue Suite 200 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 BY: J. GERARD STRANCH, IV, ESQ. For Petitioners Stichting Volkswagen Car Claim and Stichting Volkswagen Investor Claim: LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD R. WIEBE One California Street Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111 BY: RICHARD R. WIEBE, ESQ. (Appearances continued, next page)

APPEARANCES, CONTINUED: For Interested Party Altroconsumo: AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP 711 Van Ness Avenue Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94102 BY: STEVEN R. WEINMANN, ESQ. For Defendants Volkswagen: SULLIVAN & CROMWELL, LLP 125 Broad Street New York City, New York 10004 ROBERT J. GIUFFRA, JR., ESQ. BY: MICHAEL H. STEINBERG, ESQ. HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C. 125 Broad Street New York City, New York 10004 BY: JEFFREY L. CHASE, ESQ. MICHAEL B. GALLUB, ESQ. For Defendants Robert Bosch GmbH and Robert Bosch LLC: CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-1801 BY: MATTHEW D. SLATER, ESQ. For Defendant Porsche Cars North America: ALSTON & BIRD LLP One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 BY: CARI K. DAWSON, ESQ. Also Present: MAGISTRATE JUDGE JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY ROBERT MUELLER, III, SETTLEMENT MASTER JAMES L. QUARLES, III, ESQ. Numerous other counsel also present, in person and via telephone through CourtConnect, as reflected in the minutes.

Г	
1	THURSDAY, AUGUST 25, 2016 8:00 A.M.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	THE CLERK: Calling Case 15-MD-02672, In Re:
4	Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Marketing, Sales Practices and
5	Product Liability Litigation.
6	Appearances, Counsel?
7	MS. CABRASER: Good morning, Your Honor. Elizabeth
8	Cabraser, Plaintiffs' lead counsel, and on behalf of the
9	Plaintiff Steering Committee.
10	THE COURT: Good morning.
11	MR. VAN EATON: Good morning, Your Honor. Josh Van
12	Eaton for the Justice Department, with my colleague Bethany
13	Engel.
14	THE COURT: Good morning.
15	MR. AKERS: Good morning, Your Honor. Nicklas Akers
16	for the People of the State of California. I'm joined by my
17	colleague Jon Worm.
18	THE COURT: Good morning.
19	MR. BERMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Steve Berman
20	appearing here today on behalf of the Volkswagen franchise
21	dealers.
22	THE COURT: Good morning.
23	MR. COHEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Jonathan Cohen
24	for the Federal Trade Commission. With me today is my
25	colleague Simon Han.

THE COURT: Good morning.

1

6

9

13

MR. GIUFFRA: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert
Giuffra, Sullivan & Cromwell, for Volkswagen. I'm here with
my partner Mike Steinberg, and with Jeff Chase and Mr. Gallub
of the Herzfeld & Rubin firm. Good morning.

THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome.

7MS. DAWSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Cari Dawson,8Alston & Bird, liaison counsel for the Porsche Defendants.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. SLATER: Good morning, Your Honor. Matthew
Slater of Cleary Gottlieb, for Robert Bosch GmbH and Robert
Bosch LLC.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Slater.

Well, good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Let me just remind you we're on Court Call, which means that a number of people are participating, listening to the audio version.

17 So for their benefit, I would point out that Judge Corley 18 is present, as well as Director Mueller. And Mr. Quarles is 19 here as well. Director Mueller and Mr. Quarles, who are 20 participating. Director Mueller is the Settlement Master. 21 And of course, others have assisted him in this settlement 22 process.

We have set this hearing this morning to get an update on the three-liter situation. But before going into that, I would like to address two issues relating -- the first one 1 related to the two-liter issue.

As I understand, the notice has gone out. Is that correct, Ms. Cabraser? Is that right?

MS. CABRASER: Yes, Your Honor. All notices were
emailed and/or mailed to all of the two-liter owners and
lesses. So that was completed last week.

7 THE COURT: And that's very good news. Thank you so
8 much for accomplishing that.

9 And again, my understanding is that the notice, itself, 10 that has been mailed or e-mailed to members of the class in 11 the two-liter situation are detailed. That is, that they are 12 -- they explain the terms of the settlement, the -- of the 13 proposed settlement, they explain the process for moving 14 forward.

15 Is that correct?

16 (Reporter interruption)

17 THE COURT: Stand in front of the microphone, please.18 Thank you.

MS. CABRASER: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct. The notices that were mailed and/or e-mailed to all of the class members were the detailed 30-plus-page class-action settlement notices that Your Honor approved.

THE COURT: Great. So, I -- I raise that not in terms of the adequacy of the notice, which I believe is adequate, but because the Court has been advised by the Federal Trade Commission that there have been reports of incidences in which individuals who are class members have been approached with proposals with, quote, end quote, that may be different from the proposed settlement, that may appear to the individuals as being more attractive, but in fact, may, themselves, in terms of opportunity, be deceptive. And -and/or possibly adversely affecting the rights of a claimant.

8 And I raise that not to get into the merits of any 9 particular proposal out there, but rather to say that it is 10 very important for class members to read the official notice. 11 That is, the approved notice.

And if there are questions about that approved notice, the notice itself aids and assists in -- in either responding directly to the questions or to provide a means by which any class member can get further information about the settlement, if there's any issues that that individual wants to resolve.

So what I'm saying in a rather long-winded way is simply 17 for class members, before they make a decision, if they're 18 making -- that's what the process is about -- that they go to 19 the notice. And look at that notice. And understand that 20 21 notice. Because that will tell them what is really out there 22 in terms of real opportunities, as distinct from something 23 that may be pitched to them by individuals who have some other 24 agenda in terms of resolving their claims.

25

So I wanted just to point that out to anyone that may be

т	
1	listening, and urge them to follow the procedures that are set
2	forward in the notice.
3	I have also been advised that there may be some resolution
4	of some additional claims involving the dealers. And
5	Mr. Berman, if you would like to address that, at this time,
6	that would be helpful.
7	MR. BERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	I'm pleased to report that we have an agreement in
9	principle. And the agreement involves the claims of
10	Volkswagen franchise dealers.
11	You haven't heard much about them. But they have, like
12	consumers, have been financially hurt here. They have cars on
13	their lots they can't sell. Their franchise value has gone
14	down. And they have invested millions in these Volkswagen
15	franchises. So we are pleased that the settlement will
16	address the financial harm that they've incurred.
17	In addition, I think it will benefit the consumer
18	settlement. I think it's a good thing when the settlement is
19	being implemented to heal the wounds between the dealers and
20	Volkswagen. So I think it has a therapeutic effect in getting
21	the consumer settlement done properly as well.
22	I think I haven't discussed this fully with
23	Mr. Giuffra, but I think we would like to be in a position to
24	present the motion within three weeks.
25	THE COURT: Well, that is very good news. And I

-	
1	think that we ought to pick a date. I love dates. I love
2	dates. I love 8:00. Some days I like 8:00 better than other
3	days. But, let's pick a date.
4	So if we were to say that you feel that you could file
5	with the Court something within three weeks, that would be
6	well, all the way to September 23rd.
7	Is that doable, Mr. Giuffra?
8	MR. GIUFFRA: Your Honor, I think maybe a month might
9	just be safer, you know, with the end of the summer. And we
10	still we have an agreement in principle. We think we are
11	very far along with getting this wrapped up.
12	I just would want to make sure that we can get it done in,
13	I think my guess is four weeks is what
14	THE COURT: Four weeks. Great. September 30th.
15	You've got it. Okay. That clearly is the end of summer, I
16	mean, by anybody's calendar, isn't it?
17	MR. GIUFFRA: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Maybe the problem is that your family is
19	yet to have a summer. Is that it, Mr. Giuffra?
20	MR. GIUFFRA: Well, last weekend we were at the
21	beach. So but yes, Your Honor. And again, the company is
22	very pleased about this resolution. There are about 650
23	franchise Volkswagen dealers. And we consider this an
24	important step forward.
25	THE COURT: Okay, very good.

1	MR. BERMAN: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much. So let's turn to
3	the major issue that remains yet unresolved, which is the
4	three-liter cars.
5	And let me turn to you, Mr. Giuffra, to give me your
6	report on that.
7	MR. GIUFFRA: Yes, Your Honor. By way of background,
8	I think it's important to remember that the three liter issue
9	came up much later in the process than the two-liter issue.
10	Started in November.
11	And we have been working very hard with the EPA and CARB
12	in working toward a fix of those vehicles. And obviously, we
13	have the obligation to prove to the EPA, CARB, that we can fix
14	the vehicles.
15	And the long and short of it is the company still believes
16	it can fix these vehicles without adversely affecting their
17	performance. And we believe we can fix them to the standard
18	to which they were originally certified. Can't guarantee it,
19	Your Honor. It's an iterative process with the government.
20	And by the way of background, the two liters, we're
21	talking about just under 500,000 cars. With respect to these
22	cars, cars that were actually sold in the United States, we're
23	talking a little bit over 80,000 cars. And they can be
24	divided into essentially the following groups.
25	There is a SUV group which is SUV 2.2, it's Gen 2.2. And

1 those are VW Touaregs and Cayennes. There were also some 2 passenger cars which are in the 2.2 category. So it's roughly 3 7,000 of the 2.2 SUVs, and then another 22,000 of the 2.2 4 passenger cars. 5 Then there are 2.1 SUVs. Those are Touareqs and Cayennes. 6 And then passenger cars, 2.1. 7 So you're talking roughly 60,000 of these 2.2 vehicles. There's another 20,000 or so, what we call Gen 1 three-liter 8 9 cars. And those consist of Q7 and Touareg vehicles. Now, we have been working, as I mentioned, very hard with 10 the government. It's very important to Volkswagen to address 11 the issues of our customers with respect to the three-liters. 12 13 They are obviously extremely valued. And again, I think this is a situation where actions speak louder than words. We 14 15 first dealt with the two-liter customers, then we dealt with the dealers, and we've obviously worked with the government. 16 17 Now, the three-liter cars are not like the two-liter cars. We weren't able to fix the two-liter cars to the standard to 18 19 which they were originally certified. The three-liter cars have different systems, better emissions-control systems, and 20 21 are bigger. And we also believe that those cars are emitting 22 far less NOx in excess of what they were certified, than the 23 two liters. 24 Now, we obviously have to convince the agencies of that. 25 And we are focusing first, Your Honor -- and this has been,

12

again, an iterative process. Lots of meetings. I actually went to one of the meetings in El Monte, California. It's a lot of engineers involved, a lot of technical work, testing results and the like.

5 But we focused initially on the Generation 2 cars because 6 those are the newest generation of the three-liter, and they 7 have the longest useful life left. And obviously, being able 8 to fix those cars will be best for the environment, because 9 they have the longest lifetime.

And so, I think the parties have been working in good faith. And Volkswagen at the highest levels of the company is committed to moving ahead.

Now, in terms of a timeline -- and I think this is important. Your Honor's very focused on deadlines. Rightly so. And I think it's obviously prodded the parties forward in this matter.

17 This iterative process is one where we're obviously providing information to the regulators on an ongoing basis. 18 And we have to demonstrate the effectiveness of the fix. We 19 believe that we will be submitting by November 4th documents 20 21 that will be -- the documents we believe are all necessary, 22 including test vehicles, to demonstrate that the Gen 2.2 SUVs 23 can be made emissions-compliant. Obviously, the government 24 has to then do its work. 25 And we believe that the papers and the documents that

we'll be submitting for those vehicles, for the 2.2 SUV, can be a template for the submissions for the remaining Gen 2 cars. And that the fixes, in our belief, build on each other.

1

2

3

And obviously, the government needs to determine whether the fix is a durable one; what kind of testing we're going to do. But we believe that that initial submission that will be -- that submission, the complete submission on the 2.2 SUV will be an important milestone to show that the cars can be fixed. And it will provide a path forward in terms of upgrading the rest of the vehicles.

And we have had discussions with the Department of Justice 11 and the EPA and CARB about preparing a document which was 12 13 similar to the document that we had in the two-liter consent decree, which was Appendix B (Indicating quotation marks). 14 15 And that document sort of provided the standards that we would have to meet, and what regulatory flexibility might be 16 17 obtained, and how the submission dates would be all laid out. And that was something that was laid out for the two-liter. 18

And we've discussed, and Volkswagen is committed to working with the government, starting right now. And we've already internally started preparing what we think will be an Appendix B type document.

And that document would, you know, provide timetables, talk about what the emissions-modification criteria would be, what hardware and software requirements would be required,

г	
1	what test vehicles would be made available, what on-board
2	diagnostics issues and how they would be addressed.
3	On-board diagnostics are the lights on your vehicle that
4	go off when there is a problem. We don't want those to go off
5	when there isn't a problem, so that makes it very complicated.
6	And Your Honor, I can't understate the complexity of this.
7	You're literally talking about two million lines of code.
8	It's very, very complicated.
9	Also this Appendix B document would deal with things like
10	the durability testing, fuel economy, warranty issues,
11	labeling issues and the like.
12	So we would be prepared to do that, and we've told the
13	government that. And we think that's a path forward. But I
14	think the first milestone day is that early November date for
15	those 2.2 SUVs.
16	We've also discussed, Your Honor, having meetings with the
17	Department of Justice, EPA, and CARB in Washington in
18	September. And, and so, you know, again, it's we have to
19	prove it to the government. And we believe we can fix the
20	cars. But obviously, you know, it's something we have to
21	prove.
22	Just roughly in terms of what the what the fix that
23	we're contemplating would involve for the newer vehicles, the
24	2.2 SUVs, it would involve a software flash that could be done
25	in under a half hour.

For the older vehicles in the 2.1 and 2.2 category, we would upgrade the catalytic converter and upgrade various sensors, so that those cars would have the catalytic converter and the sensors that are in the most -- the latest vehicle we have.

And then for the Gen 1s which are further down the road, we have additional work to do there. That would also involve, you know, additional changes with respect to the software, changes with respect to the sensors. And we have a separate team of engineers that's working on those vehicles. So I think, Your Honor, it's fair to say that we are working very hard on that.

The company's view is that this is a two step process, as was the two-liter. We've got to persuade the government that we have a fix, and again, we think we can have a fix that's emission-compliant. There are things that flow from that.

Obviously, in our view, if one can do an emissions-compliant fix, you're going down the road of a recall. And it's a different situation than in the two-liter scenario.

And once we deal with that, then to the extent there are any customer issues, which I would view as the second step of the process, we would then address those issues.

24 But, you know, the bottom line, Your Honor, is Volkswagen 25 is committed to regaining the trust of all of its customers.

T	
1	And, you know, we have teams of people that are working, you
2	know, this week, last week, with the government, to try to
3	bring this to resolution.
4	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
5	Let me hear from the government, if I might. I'll turn to
6	the Plaintiffs.
7	MR. VAN EATON: Josh Van Eaton.
8	Thank you, Your Honor.
9	The agency, the EPA and CARB definitely believe that the
10	public is best served by moving as quickly as possible on
11	these vehicles. So I want to assure you and the car owners
12	that are listening that they have been evaluating these
13	cars the problem with these cars since last fall. And they
14	evaluate the proposed solutions from the company just as soon
15	as they come in, and they are able to. It is their absolute
16	highest priority, for the technical teams.
17	They have conducted regular meetings with the Defendants
18	in person, by phone. Exchanges of information, vast amounts
19	of information, highly-technical engineering information, in
20	writing, almost daily.
21	And so it's very difficult to project a timetable for
22	resolution because this work is ongoing. And without having
23	complete test results from the Defendants on which they can
24	base their assessment, it's a difficult exercise.
25	The bottom line is the agencies will not agree to a

1 solution until they are convinced that the solution will work.
2 And they cannot be convinced that it will work without
3 performing the necessary confirmatory testing, and reviewing
4 the necessary data that is submitted by the Defendants. So
5 they are eagerly awaiting those submissions.

And as Your Honor would expect, the date that they receive the submission is not the date that they are able to make a decision. It takes a certain amount of time to verify what they have received, to have their own engineers analyze it and make a sound engineering decision on behalf of the public.

11 So that is the process that we have been engaged in and 12 that we anticipate continuing. And as soon as those 13 submissions are in, they will be evaluated as quickly as 14 possible, and a decision will be made.

15 **THE COURT:** So if I understand this correctly, that 16 the government's in a position, or will be in a position, or 17 is now in a position that once you receive information, 18 assuming that information is complete, you then will be able 19 to engage in -- I don't know whether we call it "verify" or 20 "confirmatory testing."

And in that process, you will be in the position, then, once the -- as the confirmatory testing is done, to either reject or accept the proposed engineering solution to the three-liter cars. But you are not in that position yet, because you have yet to receive the completed tests from the -- from Volkswagen.

Is that --

1

2

14

25

MR. VAN EATON: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: But I hear you saying that once you get
those, you will move expeditiously to conduct the necessary
confirmatory testing. It will either be yes or no, but it
may -- it may be some sort of combination. Maybe yes, but, or
no, but.

9 But you're just simply not in that position until you get10 the necessary information from Volkswagen.

11 MR. VAN EATON: That's correct, Your Honor. And I 12 would reiterate it is ongoing, so it's not as though we have 13 nothing to evaluate or discuss at this time.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. VAN EATON: But I would also highlight the assumption articulated by Your Honor, which is once we receive complete information. So...

18 THE COURT: If you were to receive this information 19 by, let's assume, oh, October 24th, that's a nice Monday, you 20 were to receive the information by that day, you would be able 21 to initiate the necessary confirmatory testing forthwith. 22 Is that right?

23 MR. VAN EATON: As soon as it is received, we will 24 start work.

THE COURT: Even if it came in before October 24th.

1 MR. VAN EATON: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: But if it came in October 24th, that 3 would be -- that would be a time that you could turn to it. 4 MR. VAN EATON: Yes, Your Honor. 5 **THE COURT:** Right away. Right? 6 MR. VAN EATON: Correct. 7 **THE COURT:** Okay. Let me hear from -- thank you very much, Mr. Van Eaton. 8 9 Do the Plaintiffs wish to comment on this at this point? MS. CABRASER: Just briefly, Your Honor. 10 The 11 decision-making with respect to emissions modifications and potential fixes is, of course, the responsibility of the 12 13 governmental agencies. But the owners and lessees of the three-liter vehicles 14 15 have the solution though the emissions problem in their hands. They're very much part of the solution. We've seen with the 16 17 proposed two-liter settlement that when the owners and lessees 18 are made part of the solution, and treated fairly, they step up. And over 200,000 of them have already taken first steps 19 20 to participate in a settlement, if it's approved, although 21 there's no looming deadline for them to do so. 22 We would like to set the course of potential three-liter 23 resolution on essentially the same timetable. So it's good 24 news to hear about discussions in September and October. And 25 reporting and information in October. And we would propose

that the consumers, as well, be a part of any such
 discussions. We are moving forward on litigation for
 three-liters in the meantime, as is our job to do as a PSC.

But the three-liter owners have been understandably eager and anxious to find out how and when they can be a part of the solution.

So that's our request on behalf of the consumers. They
play a pivotal role here. And they would like to be part of
that solution, if there is a solution, as early as possible.

Otherwise, at an appropriate future date that Your Honor sets, we would like to propose scheduling on the litigation side of the matter, and an expedited trial date as we requested earlier this year for two-liters, which we believe was a positive step in expediting a resolution.

15 There's a lot we don't know yet about three-liters. There was a lot we didn't know about two-liters when we engaged in a 16 17 resolution. And we were able to structure a resolution that 18 is flexible, and that provides choices and options, so that 19 whatever happens with respect to emissions modifications on 20 the two-liter side, consumers will be treated fairly and will have choices. We believe we can do the same with 21 22 three-liters, particularly if the information Mr. Giuffra 23 suggests is forthcoming in the near term.

24 Thank you.

25

4

5

6

THE COURT: Let me ask you, Ms. Cabraser, before you

1 escape --

2

25

MS. CABRASER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't see why, in this process where we are today, there can't be discussions between the Plaintiffs Steering Committee and Volkswagen as to alternative solutions to the problem.

7 Let me say a couple things about that. First of all, what 8 makes this case a bit different from what I would say the 9 run-of-the-mill case is that this case has -- there are a 10 number of things that make it different.

But one thing that makes it different is that the -having a car on the road in violation of EPA standards is, in the Court's view, intolerable. It's something that must be addressed, and must be corrected, and must be done as expeditiously as possible.

16 So there is a time imperative that drives -- no pun 17 intended -- a solution in this case, which will result in the 18 cars either being modified satisfactorily to the government, 19 to the EPA, or removed from the road. They cannot continue to 20 be in violation of EPA standards.

So we know how, in a sense, it's going to end. That is to say, it's going to end -- and Volkswagen is fully committed to this, to bringing their cars into compliance. And that's -that's sort of the end of it.

Now, we don't know quite yet how we're going to get there,

because Volkswagen comes in and says: Look, we believe we can get there by employing these fixes, some of which take a half an hour to install, some of which take perhaps a couple of hours to install. And we think -- we think that will -- that will cure the problem.

And I hope they're right. I absolutely hope they're right. And, and the EPA hopes they're right. We all do. Because that would be a relatively simple -- I say "relatively simple" fix, in terms of inconvenience to the consumer, though it may be complicated, from Volkswagen's point of view from an engineering point of view, to put it all the together and to address all of those concerns.

So when I look at it, I think to myself, and I hear what people are saying, I think to myself, you know, that's -that's Plan A. And I hope it works. And then they're moving forward on Plan A.

17 But what if it doesn't? What if -- what if it just can't be achieved? And if it can't be achieved, it won't be by lack 18 of will of Volkswagen not to achieve it. It will be because 19 20 the engineering simply doesn't -- doesn't -- at this level, with the best engineers that they have, aren't able to produce 21 that which would be satisfactory. That's not their fault. 22 That's the reality of -- and when I say "fault," I'm looking 23 24 at it in the context of trying to address the problem. That's 25 the reality. So, that's Plan A. And it may or may not work.

But I want to focus now on Plan B. Because I have -because I know how it's going to end. That's what they always say about trial lawyers, is that trial lawyers -- very, very, good experienced trial lawyers have a very good idea how things are going to end and they try to avoid it.

6 But, but the answer here is that we have a pretty good 7 idea how it's going to end. We're not quite sure how we're going to get there. And so I think that I want to urge and 8 9 I'm going to order the parties to begin settlement discussions 10 which will envision several alternatives. The Plan A alternative, and the Plan B. And Plan B may be complicated. 11 But we've already done a Plan B, and that was the two-liter 12 13 settlement.

And I'm asking Director Mueller to participate as the moving force in these settlement discussions. And I'm directing the parties to participate in them forthwith.

I'm also asking the parties to come back here on November 4th. That day seems to be -- I mean, pardon me, November 3rd, November 3rd -- that's a Thursday, it is easier to travel that way -- to give me two things.

First of all, I would appreciate Volkswagen -- and I'm going to advance the day slightly to October 24th to make that submission to EPA that they were promising on November 4th. I would like them to make it on October 24th. It's a bit earlier, but I think that they can probably do that. Then I would like the parties to come back here on the 3rd, and for the EPA and Volkswagen to say whatever they can say at that point about their submission and about the progress on Plan A. And I would like the parties also to say how far they've developed Plan B, if they have.

And I would like the Plaintiffs' steering committee to give me some proposed dates that would envision a trial relating to these matters for some time in the summer.

9 So, I know that it's important to have enough lead time 10 between a trial setting date and a trial date, but I think 11 that that would ensure that there is a sense of reality, one, 12 in moving the litigation forward, and two, communicate exactly 13 the sense of urgency that the Court feels must be -- must be 14 understood and acted upon by counsel.

By my saying that, I am in no way criticizing the parties' efforts to date to bring about a resolution. What they have in Plan A may make a great deal of sense and may be a -- an ideal situation. But the ideal is frequently the enemy of the good or the possible. And I want to -- I want to have a strong sense of reality in these negotiations, and urgency in trying to get this thing resolved.

I think from the environment's point of view -- well, I can't speak on behalf of the environment. From the Plaintiffs' point of view, the consumers' point of view, it's important to get this resolved quickly. From Volkswagen's

Ī	
1	point of view, they share that concern.
2	MR. GIUFFRA: (Nods head)
3	THE COURT: They share that concern. But it's up to
4	the Judge, up to the Court to ensure that it happens. And
5	that's why these deadlines are not flexible. They are set for
6	a real purpose. And I appreciate the parties' adherence to
7	it.
8	So, thank you very much. I'm sorry I took so much time
9	this morning.
10	We are in recess now. Thank you.
11	MR. VAN EATON: Thank you.
12	MR. GIUFFRA: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	(Proceedings concluded)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	I, BELLE BALL, Official Reporter for the United
6	States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify
7	that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of
8	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
9	BelliBall
10	/s/ Belle Ball
11	Thursday, August 25, 2016
12	Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	